

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.



It has come to our attention that an article appearing in Volume 22, number 3 (2007) of the *Journal*, entitled *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy* by Arthur B. Pearlstein, contained significant passages taken without attribution or written authorization, from work by Scott A. Landers, “*Rules and the Concept of a Rule in Law and Legal Theory*,” a doctoral dissertation submitted and copyrighted in 1991 (available through UMI Dissertation Publishing). Accordingly, the *Journal* hereby retracts (i) the third paragraph on page 740 in the introduction, (ii) *Parts II. A §§1-4* (pages 742-51), and (iii) *Part II.A §6* (pages 753-54) of *The Justice Bazaar: Dispute Resolution Through Emergent Private Ordering as a Superior Alternative to Authoritarian Court Bureaucracy*, 22 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 739 (2007).

The *Journal* regrets this unfortunate incident and extends Mr. Landers an apology on the unauthorized use of his work by Mr. Pearlstein. In addition, Mr. Pearlstein also expresses his deep regrets over the matter and apologizes to all concerned.

